

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/876,767	06/07/2001	Mamoru Uchiyama	PC9980ACJG	8873
7590 10/02/2003			EXAMINER	
Gregg C. Benson			ZHOU, SHUBO	
Pfizer Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Patent Department, MS 4159 Eastern Point Road Groton, CT 06340			1631	þ
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

Office Action Summary Examiner	<u> </u>						
Examiner Shubo "Joe" Zhou - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply is specified above is less than their (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply the Specified above is less than their (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply the specified above is less than their (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely If NO period for reply their the specified above is less than their (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely Pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, as a specified above is less than their (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Pailure to reply be timely filed and the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Pailure to reply be timely filed and the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Pailure to reply be timely filed and statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Pailure to reply be timely filed and statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be consider		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Shubo "Joe" Zhou The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of lime may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		09/876,767	UCHIYAMA ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions or 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		<u> </u>					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· <u> </u>						
Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1,2,6 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		s have been received					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	•—						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal					

Art Unit: 1631

Detailed Action

The art unit designated for this application has changed. Applicant(s) are hereby informed that future correspondence should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (1) a method for predicting the absorption of a molecule (claim 2); (2) a method for predicting the distribution of a molecule (claim 3); (3) a method for predicting the metabolism of a molecule (claim 4); and (4) a method for predicting the excretion of a molecule (claim 5). These different species involves distinct pharmacokinetic properties that require distinct processes and reagents to be determined.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 6-7 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1631

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Gabriel Kleiman on 9/25/03, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of a method for predicting the absorption of a molecule including the generic claims 1, 6-7 and species claim 2. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 3-5 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected species.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The specification contains a section of description of Figures 1-4 on page 4 and references to the figures in other sections of the specification. However, there are no drawings filed in the application.

Art Unit: 1631

1001

The use of the trademark SYBYL, ISIS BASE, etc. on pages 3-6 and other places, has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology. The trademark SYBYL on page 5, line 28, is not capitalized.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner that might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Appropriate correction is required.

Computer Program Listings

The description portion of this application contains a computer program listing consisting of more than three hundred (300) lines. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.96(c), a computer program listing printout of more than three hundred lines <u>must</u> be submitted as a computer program listing appendix on compact disc conforming to the standards set forth in 37 CFR 1.96(c)(2) and must be appropriately referenced in the specification (see 37 CFR 1.77(b)(4)). Accordingly, applicant is required to cancel the computer program listing appearing in the specification on pages 14-23, file a computer program listing appendix on compact disc in compliance with 37 CFR 1.96(c) and insert an appropriate reference to the newly added computer program listing appendix on compact disc at the beginning of the specification.

Claim Rejections-35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the **second** paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1631

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "structural descriptors that potentially relate to a pharmacokinetic property" in claim 1 and claim 7 is vague and indefinite. The term "potentially" in claims 1 and 7 is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "potentially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear from the specification what standard to use to determine whether or not a structural descriptor of a molecule potentially relates to a pharmacokinetic property.

Further, step (a) of claim 1 and claim 7 requires preparing 2D structures of molecules used as a training set (note the plural form of the word molecule), and step (b) has no reference to any or all of the molecules. However, step (c) requires analyzing by a statistical method "to correlate with the pharmacokinetic property of the molecule (note the singular form of the word molecule)". The phrase "the molecule" lacks clear antecedent and it is not clear which "molecule" out of the "molecules" is referred to in the training set.

Claim 6 contains the trademark/trade name SYBYL. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte*Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or

Art Unit: 1631

trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a limitation, i.e. "the macro script 2dfp.spl or 2dfp_abs.spl written in SYBYL Programming Language, and, accordingly", the identification/description is indefinite.

Clarification of the metes and bounds of the claims is requested.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The CM1 Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703)305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to: Shubo "Joe" Zhou, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 605-1158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Patent Analyst Tina Plunkett whose telephone number is 703)-305-3524, or to the Technical Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Shubo "Joe" Zhou, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner